

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/516,338	03/15/2005	Jose Cosme	620-347	6158	
23117 75	90 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			KIM, ALEXANDER D		
ARLINGTON,	•	JK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/516		COSME ET AL.					
`	onice Action Summary	Exami		Art Unit					
	MAN INO DATE (III)		der D. Kim	1656					
Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun ply	ncation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provisions) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come d for reply is specified above, the maximum st eply within the set or extended period for reply pecived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be timed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>27 Ja</u> nuary 2	<u>006</u> .						
2a)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Sinc									
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	m(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) <u></u> Cla	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)∐ Cla	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	m(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ Cla	8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application I	Papers								
9)∏ The	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
• —	drawing(s) filed on is/are		b) objected to by the l	Examiner.					
Арр	licant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Rep	lacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is red	uired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The	oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)∐ A	nowledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).					
_	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	Copies of the certified copies		• •						
٠.٢	application from the Internation			v					
* See t	he attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the c	ertified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	s)/Mail Date	6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. By virtue of a preliminary amendment filed on 11/30/2004, claims 3-4, 6-8, 10 and 13 have been amended. Thus, claims 1-20 are pending in this instant case.

Restriction

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 1-13 and 18, drawn to a method for the purification and a method for determining the crystal structure of cytochrome P450.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a P450 crystal.
- III. Claims 19-20, drawn to a DNA and a transformant encoding P450 2D6.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions are linked by the special technical feature the purification steps of a P450 in claim 1. However, this technical feature is not required by Group II-III

Application/Control Number: 10/516,338

Art Unit: 1656

because Group II requires a protein crystal and Group III requires a DNA. Therefore, Groups I-III do not share the special technical feature of the purification steps of a P450 thus do not related to a single general inventive concept.

Election

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/516,338

Art Unit: 1656

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/516,338

Art Unit: 1656

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,338 Page 6

Art Unit: 1656

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-5266. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on (571) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander Kim July 24, 2006

> KATHLEEN¹M. KERR, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER